

fiducial reference measurements for satellite ocean colour



A review of radiometers used for Fiducial Reference Measurements used for Satellite Radiometric Validation

"Do we know enough about our instruments to complete an uncertainty estimate?"

Presented by Kevin Ruddick (RBINS) at FRM4SOC Final Workshop, 2018-10-04 with support from FRM4SOC partners and instrument manufacturers (Biospherical, CIMEL, IMO, Satlantic/Seabird, TRIOS, WaterInsight)

What is known about existing radiometers? (for making a uncertainty budget)

	Instrument #1	Instrument #2	Instrument #3					
Spectral response and wavelength calibration								
Spectral								
straylight/Out of band response	How well do you know your							
Radiometric calibration (and immersion factor)	Can you fill this Table with references?							
Radiometric noise								
Radiometric linearity			<u>.</u>					
Thermal stability	and estimate an uncertainty budget							
Angular response (cosine for Ed)	for your instrument?							
Polarisation sensitivity								

Instruments studied

- Biospherical/C-OPS
- CIMEL/SeaPRISM
- IMO/DALEC
- Satlantic OCR500
- Satlantic HyperOCR
- TRIOS/RAMSES
- WaterInsight/WISP

Spectral Response function and wavelength calibration

- Spectral response function usually defined via:
 - Central Wavelengths and FWHM (per detector/filter)
 - OR full spectral response function
- Symmetrical and ~ Gaussian (spectrometers) or square (filters)
- Often specified by component suppliers
 - e.g. Zeiss MMS1 spectrometer or filter manufacturers
- Sometimes checked by instrument suppliers
 - e.g. WISP OceanOptics HG-1





Spectral straylight - spectrometers



[Zeiss MMS1 spectrometer diffraction grating – see Youtube]

Imperfections (e.g. secondary reflections) can cause photons with wavelength λ_1 to reach detector of wavelength λ_2 : (spectral) straylight

Spectral straylight - spectrometer

 e.g. Test results available for Zeiss MMS1 and OceanOptics JAZ spectrometers



Straylight Distribution Function matrix for a TRIOS/RAMSES radiance sensor – see [Talone et al, 2016]

Spectral out of band response – filter wheel radiometers



Spectral out of band response – filter wheel radiometers

• Component specifications, e.g. spectral response function of filter [CIMEL Electronique]



 Can be validated for whole instrument with tunable laser

Radiometric calibration

- Laboratory absolute calibration investigated in FRM4SOC laboratory intercomparison (LCE-1 and LCE-2) activities
- Temporal variability of radiometric sensitivity can be followed for some instruments with portable calibration devices



TRIOS/FieldCAL

OceanOptics LS-1 (WISP)

Example calibration time series [RBINS: LABcals+TRIOS/FieldCALS]



(also recommend intercalibration checks)



HIGHROC intercomparison, NIVA (Oslo), 2015



IAFE/RBINS intercomparison, Buenos Aires, 2017

Immersion factor for underwater measurements

- In-water calibration different from in-air e.g. [Zibordi and Voss, 2014]:
 - Irradiance, E: reduced transmittance of waterdiffuser interface (each sensor individually!)
 - Radiance, L: decrease in solid angle FOV, increase in transmittance of optical window (less intersensor variability)

Radiometric noise and Dark current

- If no light enters sensor there is still:
 - Slowly-varying signal ("dark current")
 - Fast-varying signal ("noise") and digitisation
- BOTH may depend on temperature and integration time
- Various strategies for "dark current" removal:
 - Internal shutters or opaque filter in wheel, OR
 - (spectrometer) "dark pixels" not illuminated
 - THEN remove this signal (with same integration time) from measurement
- "Noise" should be kept negligible in uncertainty budget

Radiometric linearity

- Spectrometers and photodetectors may have non-linear response with increasing signal, especially near saturation
 - E.g. (Hamamatsu) "as long as the output is within 95 % of the saturation charge, the linearity error can be held to a small value by using an external circuit in the current-integration readout mode". Severe nonlinearity problems occur above 95% of the saturation limit, which is avoided in by reducing integration time when necessary.
- Difficult to find any validation test information
- Some new results during FRM4SOC project

Thermal stability

- Electronic components (photodetectors, ADC, etc.) often sensitivity to temperature:
 - A) Dark Current
 - B) Responsivity
- Thermal regulation of instrument is possible but very expensive (OSPREY)
- Thermal characterisation of instruments allows correction to reduce uncertainties
 - Time lag with ambient temperature?
 - Internal temperature reading?
 - [JRC, Tartu, Australia/IMO tests]

Thermal sensitivity - examples





Tests on WISP-3 spectrometer [Ghezehegn et al. 2015]

Angular response - radiance

 Generally specified as FWHM Field of View, but could be checked...



Angular response - irradiance

- Depends on diffuser head geometry and material
- Each unit is different ...



Sensors." Metrologia 50 (3): 187–99. doi:10.1088/0026-1394/50/3/187.]

Polarisation sensitivity

- Mirrors have significant polarisation sensitivity ... and the sea surface is highly polarised at 40°
- Diffusers, fibre optics decrease polarisation sensitivity
- Polarisers may also be used to block air-water ("skyglint") reflection

SIMBADA sunphotometer/radiometer (R. Frouin)



What is known about existing radiometers? (for making a uncertainty budget)

	Instrument #1	Instrument #2	Instrument #3				
Spectral response and wavelength calibration							
Spectral straylight/Out of							
band response	How well do vou know vour						
Radiometric calibration (and immersion factor)	instruments? Can you fill this Table with references?						
Radiometric noise	estimate an uncertainty budget for						
Radiometric linearity	vour instrumente						
Thermal stability							
Angular reponse (cosine for Ed)							
Polarisation sensitivity							

Characterisation of radiometers Good response/information from:

- Biospherical/C-OPS
- CIMEL/SeaPRISM
- IMO/DALEC
- Satlantic/Seabird-HyperOCR+OCR500
- TRIOS/RAMSES (inc. JRC and TARTU tests)
- WaterInsight/WISP
- BUT some characteristics may be unit-specific ... and very time-consuming to characterise
- Draft report discussed and approved by manufacturers at Sept2017 workshop, publicly available from <u>www.frm4soc.org</u>
- Update planned for Dec2018 any new tests/doc?