



### Measurement Equation Agnieszka Bialek 4<sup>rd</sup> April 2017





fiducial reference measurements for satellite ocean colour





Metrology for Earth Observation and Climate http://www.emceoc.org



Programme of EURAMET

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries within EURAMET and the European Union





## At the end of this module, you should understand

- The difference between calculation and measurement equations
  - Why the measurement equation has more components
  - Where do they come from

- How to develop a measurement equation
  - How to evaluate additional components in the measurement equation
  - When to stop adding them
- How to determine the sensitivity coefficients
  - Analytically
  - Modelling
  - Lab experiment

## At the end of this module, you should understand

The difference between calculation and measurement equations • Why the measurement equation has more components Where do they come from Integrating Lampsphere diffuser How to develop a measurement equation • How to evaluate additional components in the measurement equation • When to stop adding them How to determine the sensitivity coefficients • Analytically Modelling Lab experiment

Laboratory based Lamp – diffuser method

## **RADIANCE CALIBRATION 1**



## At the end of this section, you should understand

- The difference between calculation and measurement equations
  - Why the measurement equation has more components
  - Where do they come from

Lampdiffuser

- How to develop a measurement equation
  - How to evaluate additional components in the measurement equation
  - When to stop adding them
- How to determine the sensitivity coefficients
  - Analytically
  - Modelling
  - Lab experiment

#### Steps to an uncertainty budget

- 1. Traceability Chain
- 2. Calculation Equation
- 3. Sources of Uncertainty
- 4. Measurement Equation
- 5. Sensitivity Coefficients
- 6. Assigning Uncertainties
- 7. Combining your uncertainties
- 8. Expanding your uncertainties

Symbol	Uncertainty component	Size of effect	Correction applied?	Residual uncertainty	Divisor	Sensitivity coefficient	Uncertainty associated with final value due to effect	
	Combined standard uncertainty							
	Expanded uncertainty							



#### Step 1: Traceability chain





#### **Step 2: Calculation equations**



#### **Step 3: Sources of uncertainty**



#### Calibration certificate Lamp additional effects

- Ageing
- Alignment
- Current stability



### **Calibration certificate**

Diffuser additional effects

- Ageing
- Uniformity



### Random noise

Instrument additional effects

- Stability (drift)
- Room stray light



#### **Distance accuracy**



#### **Step 4: Measurement equations**

$$L_{\rm s} = \frac{E_{\rm FEL}\beta_{0:45}}{\pi} \frac{d_{\rm cal}^2}{d_{\rm use}^2}$$

$$L_{\rm s} = \frac{E_{\rm FEL}\beta_{0:45}}{\pi} \frac{d_{\rm cal}^2}{d_{\rm use}^2} K_{\rm lamp\_stab} K_{\rm align} K_{\rm current} K_{\rm diff\_stab} K_{\rm unif}$$

$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} - V_{\rm dark}$$

 $V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} K_{\rm light\_stab} + K_{\rm stray} - V_{\rm dark} K_{\rm dark\_stab}$ 



#### Steps to an uncertainty budget

- 1. Traceability Chain
- 2. Calculation Equation
- 3. Sources of Uncertainty
- 4. Measurement Equation
- 5. Sensitivity Coefficients
- 6. Assigning Uncertainties
- 7. Combining your uncertainties
- 8. Expanding your uncertainties



Sensitivity coefficients (1)

By differentiation

# Easy to derive for *calculation equation* components of this particular example

Component X <sub>i</sub>	Sensitivity coefficient $c_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$	Relative radiance uncertainty due to $c_i \mu(x_i)$
Lamp irradiance $E_{FEL}$	$L_{ m s}/E_{ m FEL}$	$1 \cdot u(E_{\text{FEL}})/E_{\text{FEL}}$
Radiance factor $\beta_{0:45}$	$L_{ m s}/eta_{ m 0:45}$	$1 \cdot u(\beta_{0:45})/\beta_{0:45}$
Distance $d_{use}^2$	$-2L_{\rm s}/d_{\rm use}$	$-2 \cdot u(d_{use})/d_{use}$
$\left(\frac{u(L)}{L}\right)^2 =$	$\left(\frac{u(E_{\text{FEL}})}{E_{\text{FEL}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u(\beta_{0:45})}{\beta_{0:45}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u(\beta_{0:45})}{\beta_{0:45}}\right)^{2}$	$+(-2)^2 \left(\frac{u(d_{\rm use})}{d_{\rm use}}\right)^2$

Uncertainties of components (1)	calculation equation	From calibration certificates			
Component <i>X<sub>i</sub></i>	Sensitivity coefficient $c_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$	Relative radiance uncertainty due to $c_i \mu(x_i)$			
Lamp irradiance E <sub>FEL</sub>	$L_{ m s}/E_{ m FEL}$	$1 \cdot u(E_{\text{FEL}})/E_{\text{FEL}}$			
Radiance factor $\beta_{0:45}$	$L_{ m s}/eta_{ m 0:45}$	$1 \cdot u(\beta_{0:45}) / \beta_{0:45}$			
Distance $d_{use}^2$	$-2L_{\rm s}/d_{\rm use}$	$-2 \cdot u(d_{use})/d_{use}$			
$\left(\frac{u(L)}{L}\right)^2$	$^{2} = \left(\frac{u(E_{\text{FEL}})}{E_{\text{FEL}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u(\beta_{0:45})}{\beta_{0:45}}\right)^{2}$	$\bigg)^{2} + \left(-2\right)^{2} \left(\frac{u\left(d_{\text{use}}\right)}{d_{\text{use}}}\right)^{2}$			

#### **Certificate uncertainties (1)**

E FEL

 $\pi$ 

 $\beta_{0:45}$ 

use

From calibration certificates

Wave-	Absolute Spectral	
length	Irradiance	Uncertainty
nm	mW m <sup>-2</sup> nm <sup>-1</sup>	%
545	4.37	1.5
550	4.54	1.5
555	4.72	1.5
560	4.89	1.4
565	5.07	1.6
570	5.24	1.5
575	5.42	1.5
580	5.60	1.4
585	5.78	1.5
590	5.96	1.4
595	6.14	1.4
600	6.31	1.3
605	6.48	1.3
610	6.65	1.3
615	6.83	1.3
620	7.00	1.2
625	7.18	1.2
630	7.35	1.2
635	7.52	1.3
640	7.68	1.3

 $\frac{C_{\text{cal}}}{2} K_{\text{lamp_stab}} K_{\text{align}} K_{\text{current}} K_{\text{diff_stab}} K_{\text{unif}}$ 

Remember calibration certificates almost always quote uncertainties at k = 2 !



#### **Certificate uncertainties (2)**



Radiometric quantities integrated over passband function of radiometer being calibrated, allowing for its spectral response function; may require interpolation

See course book Chapter 7 for more details about integration and interpolation

Wavelength [nm]	Spectral Irradiance [W/(cm² nm)]
250	1.831E-08
260	3.198E-08
270	5.264E-08
280	8.263E-08
290	1,247E-07
300	1.813E-07
310	2.555E-07
320	3.501E-07
330	4.678E-07
340	6.121E-07
350	7.854E-07
360	9.868E-07
370	1.2205-06
380	1.485E-06
390	1.791E-06
400	2.119E-06
450	4.281E-06
500	7.078E-06
555	1.051E-05
600	1.323E-05
654.6	1.624E-05
700	1.829E-05
800.	2.117E-05
900 -	2.212E-05
1050	2.104E-05
1150 *	1.944E-05

 $K_{align} K_{current} K_{diff_{stab}} K_{unif}$ 

390
400
450
500
555
600
654.6
700
800,
900 👾
1050
1150
National Physical Laboratory

#### **Certificate uncertainties (3)**



Certificate may not give required quantity (modelling may be needed to obtain desired quantity): read it carefully!



### Measurement equation: allowing for additional effects







## Uncertainties of calculation equation components (2)

## e.g. from resolution of distance measuring instrument

Component <i>X<sub>i</sub></i>	Sensitivity coefficient $c_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$	Relative rad uncertainty c <sub>i</sub> µ	ance due to $y(x_i)$
Lamp irradiance E <sub>FEL</sub>	$L_{ m s}/E_{ m FEL}$	$1 \cdot u(E_{\rm FI})$	$_{\rm L})/E_{\rm FEL}$
Radiance factor $\beta_{0:45}$	$L_{ m s}/eta_{ m 0:45}$	$1 \cdot u(\beta_{0:4})$	$(5)/eta_{0:45}$
Distance $d_{use}^2$	$-2L_{\rm s}/d_{\rm use}$	$-2 \cdot u(d_u)$	$(se)/d_{use}$
$\left(\frac{u(L)}{L}\right)^2$	$^{2} = \left(\frac{u(E_{\text{FEL}})}{E_{\text{FEL}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u(\beta_{0:45})}{\beta_{0:45}}\right)^{2}$	$\bigg)^2 + \left(-2\right)^2 \bigg(\frac{u}{d}\bigg)^2$	$\left(\frac{d_{\rm use}}{d_{\rm use}}\right)^2$

#### **Rectangular uncertainty distributions**



Resolution of distance measuring instrument = 0.1 mm

Measurement distance = 500.0 mm

Uncertainty associated with distance measurement =  $(0.05 / 500) / \sqrt{3} = 0.006 \%$ 

Uncertainty in irradiance from distance measurement =  $2 \times 0.006 \% = 0.012 \%$ 



## Uncertainties of calculation equation components (3)

$$\left(\frac{u(L)}{L}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{u(E_{\text{FEL}})}{E_{\text{FEL}}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{u(\beta_{0:45})}{\beta_{0:45}}\right)^{2} + \left(-2\right)^{2} \left(\frac{u(d_{\text{use}})}{d_{\text{use}}}\right)^{2}$$

Symbol	Uncertainty component	Size of effect	Correction applied?	Residual uncertainty	Divisor	Sensitivity coefficient	Uncertainty associated with final value due to effect
$u(E_{\rm FEL})$	Ref. lamp irradiance	1.5 %	N	1.5 %	2	1	0.75 %
$u(\beta_{0:45})$	Tile radiance factor	2.0 %	N	2.0 %	2	1	1.00 %
$u(d_{use})$	Lamp distance (500 mm)	0.05 mm	N	0.01 %	√3	2	0.012 %
$u(d_{use})$							
$u(d_{use})$							
$u(d_{use})$							
$u(d_{use})$							
$u(d_{use})$							
$u(d_{use})$							
$u(d_{use})$							
$u(d_{use})$							
Combined standard uncertainty							
		Ex	panded uncertain	ity			



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (1)**





Repeat measurements with realignment of the lamp



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (2)**

• Negligible instrument drift in controlled lab environment

0.7 DN change during45 minute constant run



$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} \overline{K_{\rm light}} + K_{\rm stray} - V_{\rm dark} \overline{K_{\rm dark}}$$



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (3)**



$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} K_{\rm light\_stab} + K_{\rm stray} - V_{\rm dark} K_{\rm dark\_stab}$$



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (4)**



NPL Management Ltd - In Confidence

**National Physical Laboratory** 

Training

#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (5)**





#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (6)**

• Room stray light negligible

Difference between detector dark reading and measurement with detector FOV obscured smaller than standard deviation of individual dark runs



 $V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} K_{\rm light\_stab} + K_{\rm stray} - V_{\rm dark} K_{\rm dark\ stab}$ 



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (7)**



$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} K_{\rm light\_stab} + K_{\rm stray} - V_{\rm dark} K_{\rm dark\_stab}$$



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (8)**

Relationship between lamp current and irradiance modelled by:

- 1. Consider effect of change in current on lamp power ( $P_{\text{elec}} \propto l^2$ )
- 2. Assume direct relationship between lamp electrical and optical power
- 3. Assume lamp behaves similarly to blackbody radiator for small changes in power i.e. relationship between power and temperature is  $P_{opt} \propto T^4$
- 4. So  $P \propto T^4$  or  $T \propto I^{0.5}$ . Relative change in T is half relative change in I
- 5. Determine spectral change in irradiance for BB associated with change in T



#### Lamp current effect by modelling

- Suppose:
  - Lamp current uncertainty is 0.020 A
  - Lamp current is 8.000 A
  - Lamp CCT is 3000 K
- Therefore, by modelling:
  - *u*(*I*) = 0.25 %
  - u(T) = 0.125 % (relative change in T is half relative change in I)
  - Absolute uncertainty in T at 3000 K = 3.8 K
  - Using Planck equation:
    - Uncertainty in spectral irradiance is 1.70 % at 350 nm
    - Uncertainty in spectral irradiance is 0.99 % at 600 nm



#### Lamp current effect by measurement

- Suppose:
  - Lamp current uncertainty is 0.020 A
  - Lamp current is 8.000 A
  - Lamp CCT is 3000 K
- We can **measure** the effect by:
  - Measuring irradiance at a current of 8.000 A
  - Measuring irradiance at a current of (say) 7.800 A (needs to be large enough difference to enable effect to be measured reliably)
  - $u(E_{\text{current}}) = 0.1 \text{ x}$  observed relative change in irradiance due to 0.200 A change in current

More details in course notes



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (9)**



$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} K_{\rm light\_stab} + K_{\rm stray} - V_{\rm dark} K_{\rm dark\_stab}$$



#### **Uncertainty of additional effects (10)**

## Measured uniformity and consideration of where radiometer is placed



Worst case uncertainty is ± 1.5 %

Even if diffuser is perfectly uniform, non-uniformity due to lamp irradiance can be large, especially at short distances (inverse square law)



Symbol	Uncertainty component	Size of effect	Correction applied?	Residual uncertainty	Divisor	Sensitivity coefficient	Uncertainty associated with final value due to effect
$u(E_{FEL})$	Ref. lamp irradiance	1.5 %	N	1.5 %	2	1	0.75 %
$u(\beta_{0:45})$	Tile radiance factor	2.0 %	N	2.0 %	2	1	1.00 %
$u(d_{use})$	Lamp distance (500 mm)	0.05 mm	N	0.01 %	√3	2	0.012 %
$u(K_{align})$	Lamp alignment	0.15 %	N	0.15 %	1	1	0.15 %
$u(K_{l_{stab}})$	Light reading stability	negligible	N	negligible			negligible
$u(K_{d_{stab}})$	Dark reading stability	negligible	N	negligible			negligible
$u(K_{lamp_{stab}})$	Lamp stability	0.083 %	N	0.083 %	√3	1	0.048 %
$u(K_{diff_{stab}})$	Diffuser stability	0.125 %	N	0.125 %	√3	1	0.072 %
$u(K_{\text{stray}})$	Stray light in lab	negligible	N	negligible			negligible
u(K <sub>current</sub> )	Lamp current (8.000 A)	0.004 A	N	0.25 % in <i>I</i> , or 0.99 % in <i>E<sub>FEL</sub></i> at 600 nm	√3	1	0.572 % (at 600 nm)
$u(K_{\text{unif}})$	Radiance uniformity	1.50 %	Ν	1.50 %	√3	1	0.866 %
Combined standard uncertainty							
		Expande	d uncertainty ( <i>k</i> =	=2)			3.3 %





Uncertainty components



**Uncertainty components** 



Uncertainty components



**Uncertainty components** 



**Uncertainty components** 

Laboratory based Integrating sphere

## **RADIANCE CALIBRATION 2**



## At the end of this module, you should understand

The difference between calculation and measurement equations • Why the measurement equation has more components Where do they come from Integrating sphere How to develop a measurement equation • How to define additional components in the measurements equation • When to stop adding them • How to determine the sensitivity coefficients • Analytically Modelling Lab experiment

#### **Traceability chain**





#### **Calculation equations**





#### **Sources of uncertainty**





### Calibration certificate Random noise

Instrument additional effects

- Stability (drift)
- Room stray light
- Environmental sensitivity

#### Sphere effects

- Ageing
- Uniformity
- Stability
- Back reflection
- Environmental sensitivity



### Random noise

Instrument additional effects

- Stability (drift)
- Room stray light



$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} - V_{\rm dark}$$

$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} K_{\rm light\_stab} + K_{\rm stray} - V_{\rm dark} K_{\rm dark\_stab}$$



$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} - V_{\rm dark}$$





$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} - V_{\rm dark}$$

$$V_{\rm S} = V_{\rm light} K_{\rm light\_stab} + K_{\rm strav} - V_{\rm dark} K_{\rm dark\_stab}$$

By measurement, using a small baffle to prevent direct radiation from sphere reaching detector



$$L_{\rm s} = V_{\rm TR} G_{\rm TR}$$

$$L_{\rm s} = (V_{\rm TR\_light} - V_{\rm TR\_dark})G_{\rm TR}K_{\rm TR\_dft}K_{\rm stray}K_{\rm temp}K_{\rm lin}$$
$$K_{\rm sph\_stab}K_{\rm sph\_temp}K_{\rm reflect}K_{\rm sph\_age}$$



$$L_{\rm s} = V_{\rm TR} G_{\rm TR}$$

$$L_{\rm s} = (V_{\rm TR\_light}) - (V_{\rm TR\_dark}) G_{\rm TR} K_{\rm TR\_dft} K_{\rm stray} K_{\rm temp} K_{\rm lin}$$
$$K_{\rm sph\_stab} K_{\rm sph\_temp} K_{\rm reflect} K_{\rm sph\_age}$$

Uncertainty due to noise on these evaluated by statistical analysis of repeated measurements



 $L_{\rm s} = V_{\rm TR} G_{\rm TR}$ 

$$L_{s} = (V_{TR\_light} - V_{TR\_dark})G_{TR}K_{TR\_dft}K_{stray}K_{temp}K_{lin}$$

$$K_{sph\_stab}K_{sph\_temp}K_{reflect}K_{sph\_age}$$
Stability of sphere during  
measurements; included in  
measurement of noise on detector  
signals and therefore taken as zero  
to avoid 'double counting'

$$L_{\rm s} = V_{\rm TR} G_{\rm TR}$$

$$L_{s} = (V_{TR\_light} - V_{TR\_dark})G_{TR}K_{TR\_dft}K_{stray}K_{temp}K_{lin}$$

$$K_{sph\_stab}K_{sph\_temp}K_{reflect}K_{sph\_age}$$
From calibration
certificate



$$L_{\rm s} = V_{\rm TR} G_{\rm TR}$$

$$L_{s} = (V_{TR\_light} - V_{TR\_dark})G_{TR}K_{TR\_dft}K_{stray}K_{temp}K_{lin}$$
$$K_{sph\_stab}K_{sph\_temp}K_{reflect}K_{sph\_age}$$
Historical calibration records; data from other researchers



sph\_temp

$$L_{\rm s} = V_{\rm TR} G_{\rm TR}$$

 $L_{\rm s} = (V_{\rm TR\_light} - V_{\rm TR\_dark})G_{\rm TR}K_{\rm TR\_dft}K$ stray tem lin

sph\_age

By measurement e.g. by systematic investigation of change in signal as temperature of transfer radiometer or sphere is changed

reflec



 $L_{\rm s} = V_{\rm TR} G_{\rm TR}$ 

$$L_{\rm s} = (V_{\rm TR\_light} - V_{\rm TR\_dark})G_{\rm TR}K_{\rm TR\_dft}K_{\rm stray}K_{\rm temp}K_{\rm lin}$$
$$K_{\rm sph\_stab}K_{\rm sph\_temp}K_{\rm reflect}K_{\rm sph\_age}$$

e.g. experimental investigation of change in reflectance of sphere coating following exposure to sphere light source, 'sphere equation' relating reflectance to sphere throughput, and time for which lamps have been operated between calibration with transfer radiometer and measurement with test radiometer By modelling or from repeated measurements using reference detector



 $A_{\rm L} = \frac{L_{\rm s}}{V_{\rm s}}$ 

$$\left(\frac{u\left(L_{s}\right)}{L_{s}}\right)^{2} = \frac{\left(u^{2}\left(V_{\text{TR\_light}}\right) + u^{2}\left(V_{\text{TR\_dark}}\right)\right)}{\left(V_{\text{TR\_light}} - V_{\text{TR\_dark}}\right)^{2}} \left(\frac{u\left(G_{\text{TR}}\right)}{G_{\text{TR}}}\right)^{2} + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{TR\_dft}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{stray}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{temp}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{lin}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{lin}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{sph\_temp}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{reflect}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{sph\_age}}\right) + u^{2}\left(K_{\text{sph\_stab}}\right)$$

Note: in this analysis, assume that uncertainties due to noise on readings and stray are negligible for test radiometer, so relative uncertainty associated with  $A_{\rm L}$  is same as that associated with  $L_{\rm S}$ 



Symbol	Uncertainty component	Size of effect	Correction applied?	Residual uncertainty	Divisor	Sensitivity coefficient	Uncertainty associated with final value due to effect	
$u(V_{\text{TR\_light}})$	Transfer radiometer light rdg	0.001 %	Ν	0.001 %	1	1	0.001 %	
$u(V_{\mathrm{TR}_{\mathrm{dark}}})$	Transfer radiometer light rdg	0.001 %	Ν	0.001 %	1	1	0.001 %	
$u(G_{\rm TR})$	Transfer radiometer gain	3.0 %	N	3.0 %	2	1	1.50 %	
$u(K_{\text{TR\_drift}})$	Transfer radiometer change since calibration	0.5 %	Ν	0.5 %	√3	1	0.289 %	
$u(K_{\text{stray}})$	Stray light with transfer radiometer	negligible	Ν	negligible			negligible	
u(K <sub>temp</sub> )	Temperature effects for transfer radiometer	negligible	Ν	negligible			negligible	
$u(K_{\rm lin})$	Transfer radiometer linearity	0.083 %	Ν	0.083 %	√3	1	0.048 %	
$u(K_{sph\_temp})$	Temperature effects for sphere	negligible	N	negligible			negligible	
$u(K_{reflect})$	Inter-reflections between radiometers and sphere	0.125 %	Ν	0.125 %	√3	1	0.072 %	
$u(K_{sph_age})$	Ageing of sphere	0.125 %	N	0.125 %	√3	1	0.072 %	
$u(K_{\rm sph_stab})$	Sphere stability during measurements	Included in $u(V_{\mathrm{TR\_light}})$ and $u(V_{\mathrm{TR\_dark}})$	Ν	0 %	1	1	0 %	
Combined standard uncertainty								
Expanded uncertainty ( <i>k</i> =2)								

Note: in this analysis, assume that uncertainties due to noise on readings and stray are negligible for test radiometer, so relative uncertainty associated with  $A_{\rm L}$  is same as that associated with  $L_{\rm S}$ 



Symbol	Uncertainty component	Size of effect	Correction applied?	Residual uncertainty	Divisor	Sensitivity coefficient	Uncertainty associated with final value due to effect
$u(V_{\text{TR\_light}})$	Transfer radiometer light rdg	0.001 %	Ν	0.001 %	1	1	0.001 %
$u(V_{\rm TR_dark})$	Transfer radiometer light rdg	0.001 %	Ν	0.001 %	1	1	0.001 %
$u(G_{\rm TR})$	Transfer radiometer gain	3.0 %	N	3.0 %	2	1	1.50 %
$u(K_{\text{TR\_drift}})$	Transfer radiometer change since calibration	0.5 %	Ν	0.5 %	√3	1	0.289 %
$u(K_{\text{stray}})$	Stray light with transfer radiometer	negligible	Ν	negligible			negligible
$u(K_{temp})$	Temperature effects for transfer radiometer	negligible	Ν	negligible			negligible
$u(K_{\rm lin})$	Transfer radiometer linearity	0.083 %	N	0.083 %	√3	1	0.048 %
$u(K_{sph\_temp})$	Temperature effects for sphere	negligible	Ν	negligible			negligible
$u(K_{\text{reflect}})$	Inter-reflections between radiometers and sphere	0.125 %	Ν	0.125 %	√3	1	0.072 %
$u(K_{sph_age})$	Ageing of sphere	0.125 %	N	0.125 %	√3	1	0.072 %
$u(K_{\rm sph_stab})$	Sphere stability during measurements	Included in $u(V_{\mathrm{TR\_light}})$ and $u(V_{\mathrm{TR\_dark}})$	Ν	0 %	1	1	0 %
Combined standard uncertainty							
Expanded uncertainty ( <i>k</i> =2)							

Note: in this analysis, assume that uncertainties due to noise on readings and stray are negligible for test detector, so relative uncertainty associated with  $A_{\rm L}$  is same as that associated with  $L_{\rm S}$ 



## CONCLUSIONS



#### Conclusions

- 1. Traceability Chain
  - Show linkage back to 'point of trust'
- 2. Calculation Equation
  - Equation for each step in measurement process / step in chain
- 3. Sources of Uncertainty
  - Consider all factors that may affect result for each calculation equation
- 4. Measurement Equation
  - Include all sources of uncertainty
  - Types of uncertainty (multiplicative, additive)
- 5. Sensitivity Coefficients
  - Mathematically, from experimental investigations, or by modelling
- 6. Assigning Uncertainties
  - Other information (e.g. certificates, historical data, other researchers), statistical analysis, experimental studies, modelling, or combination
- 7. Combining your uncertainties
  - When to stop
- 8. Expanding your uncertainties

