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FRM at NASA Has a Long History

- CZCS validation – early 1980s
- SeaWiFS Project cal/val program – early 1990s
  - SeaBASS
  - Support for MOBy
- SIMBIOS Program – late 1990s/early 2000s
- Participation in the CEOS OCR-VC / INSITU-OCR activities – early 2000s-2010s
- PACE – NOW!
Sensor Intercomparison & Merger for Biological & Interdisciplinary Ocean Studies

- Ensure development of internally consistent research products and time series from multiple satellite ocean color data sources
- Develop methodologies for cross-calibration of satellite ocean color sensors
- Develop methodologies for merging data from multiple ocean color missions
- Promote cooperation between ocean color projects

Conceived in 1994 by NASA HQ as an alternative to the EOS Color mission. SIMBIOS Program ended in December 2003

- What are lessons learned, and would there be any advantages to having a follow on program that could be part of the OCR-VC?
SIMBIOS Program Activities

- Global bio-optical & atmospheric *in situ* data collection (NRA’s)
- Bio-optical & atmospheric database development (SeaBASS)
- Field measurement & data processing protocol development
- Traceability of laboratory calibration sources to standards (R/R)
- R&D of new instruments & instrument pool
  - SeaPRISM (AERONET-OC)
  - SIMBAD(A)
- Prelaunch sensor calibration & characterization protocols
- On-orbit calibration evaluation & methodology development
- Instrumented calibration sites (MOBY)
- Bio-optical & atmospheric correction algorithm development + product accuracy evaluation & methodology development
- Data merger algorithm development & data processing
- High volume data processing capabilities
- Multi satellite data processing software (SeaDAS)
- Systematic documentation (NASA TMs and publications)
- International collaborations and Science Team
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Satellite Data from

Mission Feedback
• Science community input
• Comparison with other appropriate products
• New Mission
• Protocol development

Improved Products & Algorithms
• Reprocessing due to improvements in calibration, masks, binning schemes, product compatibilities, etc.
• New products from biogeochemical fields, atmospheric fields, etc.
• Data distribution interface

Calibration Strategy
• Prelaunch
  Lab. characterization & calibration (NIST traceable)
  Solar calibration (transfer-to-orbit)
• Postlaunch (operational adjustments)
  Solar calibration (daily)
  Lunar calibration (monthly)
  MOBY $L_{\text{wn}}$ time series for vicarious calibration

In Situ Data
• Collection of required bio-optical and atmospheric measurements (SIMBIOS PIs)
• in situ instrument calibration (Project round robin NIST-traceable)
• Data collection following NASA Ocean Optics protocols
• Maintenance of an archive of calibrated QC in situ data (SeaBASS)
• Calibrated instrument pool

Product & Algorithm Validation
• Atmospheric & bio-optical algorithm validation and development (SIMBIOS PIs and project staff)
• Match-up analysis, satellite QC, time series evaluation, etc.
SIMBIOS In situ Field Lessons Learned:

- Accurately sample relevant measurements
- Regularly review how well they can be measured
- Make observations across wide range of biological/biogeochemical provinces
- Sample *in situ* observations according to agreed upon protocols and relate observations to community measurement standards
- Compare vicarious instrument calibration results with on-orbit methods
- Push advanced instrumentation development & ongoing instrument performance evaluations;
- Support calibration and data analysis round robins
- Provide these data to a centralized data center.

- Field training/certification for QA/QC
Thorough multi-sensor *data validations*:

- estimate discrepancies between the products,
- extract disparate temporal trends, scan-angle dependencies, and other sensor differences,
- eliminate these trends by data cross-calibrations,
- define product accuracy levels (match ups, time series analysis by regions)
- choose the most suitable merger algorithm.

Example: cross-calibration to eliminate scan-angle dependencies in MODIS-Terra data improved the matchups between MODIS and SeaWiFS chlorophyll.
Overall SIMBIOS Lessons Learned:

- The calibration and validation programs for individual missions had a wide range of approaches and methodologies
  - International cooperation is imperative to ensure high quality global climate data.
- Long-term, high quality measurements are needed for global climate research.
- **Data stability** should be addressed in terms of:
  - sensor characterization, calibration, traceability to standards and data product validation;
  - Requirements for and approaches to data continuity, and
  - data systems (long-term archiving, data reprocessing capability, careful metadata and documentation, algorithm development in a open, peer-review process, etc.)
- **Long-Term, consistent data** sets should be addressed in terms of:
  - overlapping observations
  - cross-calibration
  - evolution, reprocessing, and multiple versions of data sets

The SIMBIOS Project, including it’s Science Team, was a success story on how to tackle these issues while engaging the international ocean color community.
SeaBASS is a permanent, publicly available archive of data from around the globe. It was originally formed to support the SeaWiFS mission, and since then it has archived data collected under NASA’s:

- SeaWiFS Project
- SIMBIOS Program
- Ocean Biology and Biogeochemistry (OBB) Program
- Earth Venture projects & voluntary submissions

http://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov
Data archived in SeaBASS are collected from ships, moorings, autonomous buoys and other platforms. Measurements come from a variety of instruments, such as profilers, hand-held sensors, and laboratory analyzers.

Diverse data types include:

- apparent optical properties / radiometry
- inherent optical properties
- phytoplankton pigments
- carbon stocks
- hydrography
- other biogeochemical & atmospheric measurements

Images provided by Javier Concha\textsuperscript{1,2,3} and Chris Proctor\textsuperscript{4}
SeaBASS web-based Level-2 Validation Search
(https://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/search#val)

Data values and statistics for where successful coincident match-ups were calculated between *in situ* and satellite ocean color sensors measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Name</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Mean Bias</th>
<th>Mean Absolute Error (MAE)</th>
<th>VIIRS-SNPP Range</th>
<th>In situ Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rrs410</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>-0.00025</td>
<td>0.00099</td>
<td>-0.00319 - 0.01614</td>
<td>0.00000 - 0.01527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rrs443</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>-0.00002</td>
<td>0.00072</td>
<td>-0.00081 - 0.02115</td>
<td>0.00017 - 0.01871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rrs486</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>-0.00062</td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>0.00040 - 0.02595</td>
<td>0.00058 - 0.02530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rrs551</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>-0.00071</td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>0.00095 - 0.02455</td>
<td>0.00128 - 0.02633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rrs671</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>-0.00022</td>
<td>0.00030</td>
<td>-0.00022 - 0.00977</td>
<td>-0.00000 - 0.01022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bailey and Werdell, 2006
Field data collected under research proposals funded by the NASA OBB program are required to be submitted to SeaBASS.

- Field work funded by OBB Program
- QA/QC by data contributor
- In situ data submitted to NASA SeaBASS within 1-year of measurements
- In situ data publicly released by NASA
- In situ data used to validate satellite data products & to develop / evaluate algorithms

http://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov
SeaBASS AOP Holdings by Year
(candidates for validation processing)

SeaBASS submissions only, i.e., excludes external data sources like AERONET-OC
Currently, SeaBASS match-ups are only made with Level 2.0 AERONET-OC data (cloud cleared, fully calibrated, and manually inspected).

New and updated data are processed when new L2.0 data become available (e.g., annually).

Zibordi et al. (2009)
Including AERONET-OC results increases # of successful match-ups by more than 10x for some bands

*Includes land bands
Spectrometer from UV to near-infrared: 345 (320 goal) – 885 nm at no greater than 5 nm resolution, plus discrete SWIR bands centered on 940, 1038, 1250, 1378, 1615, 2130, and 2260 nm

- 1 km spatial resolution
- 2-day global coverage to solar zenith angle of 75-deg and sensor zenith angle of 60-deg
- Sun-synchronous, polar orbit with a local Equatorial crossing time of ~13:00

Launch: 2022

Additional sources of high quality hyperspectral data (e.g., WATERHYPERNET) are needed for PACE validation
PACE spectral coverage continued

SPECTRAL COVERAGE
OCEAN COLOR HERITAGE SENSORS compared with PACE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Coverage Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CZCS</td>
<td>(1978-1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeaWiFS</td>
<td>(1997-2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS*</td>
<td>(2002-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIIRS</td>
<td>(2011-)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO MEASUREMENTS**

- Total pigment or Chlorophyll-a
- Atmospheric correction / MODIS chlorophyll fluorescence
- Atmospheric correction (clear ocean)
- Atmospheric correction (coastal) **
- Hyperspectral bands at 5 nanometer resolution
- Multi-bands
- Atmospheric correction (coastal) & Aerosol/cloud properties

**PRODUCTS**
- Absorbing aerosols
- Dissolved organics
- Functional groups
- Particle sizes
- Physiology
- Pigment fluorescence
- Coastal biology

---

*MODIS on Terra (2000-) does not yet provide science-quality ocean data
**MODIS/VIIRS short-wave infrared bands are not optimized for oceans
• ROSES 2014 A.3 Ocean Biology and Biogeochemistry: three selections made (2015)
  • Hyperspectral radiometric device for accurate measurements of water leaving radiance from autonomous platforms for satellite vicarious calibrations (Hypernav)
    • Andrew Barnard – SeaBird Scientific, Inc.
    • $1.35M/3 years

  • Hybrid-spectral Alternative for Remote Profiling of Optical Observations for NASA Satellites (HARPOONS)
    • Carlos Del Castillo – NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
    • $2.8M/3 years

  • Developing a MOBY-NET instrument, suitable for a federation network for Vicarious Calibration of Ocean Color Satellites
    • Ken Voss – University of Miami
    • $2.8M/3 years
Hyperspectral radiometric device for accurate measurements of water leaving radiance from autonomous platforms for satellite vicarious calibrations (aka HYPERNAV)

PI: Andrew Barnard, Sea-Bird Scientific
Co-PI: Emmanuel Boss, University of Maine

Ronnie Van Dommelen, Keith Brown, Marlon Lewis, Burkhard Plache, Jamie Hutchins, Scott Feener, Joel Reiter, Daryl Carlson, Alex Derr, Nils Haentjens
HyperNav: Autonomous hyperspectral radiometric sensors for satellite vicarious calibration
PI: Andrew Barnard

Goals
• Next-generation hyperspectral radiometric sensors for calibration/validation.
• Utilize autonomous floats as a platform to collect hyperspectral radiometric to minimize uncertainty.
• Develop an end-to-end system/strategy for new ocean-color satellite calibration – including float deployment, radiometric data quality assurance, data delivery and satellite inter-comparison.

HyperNav autonomous float system advantages
• Risk reduction approach to the vicarious calibration program for PACE and other missions.
• Deployment floats at the start of a satellite mission - rapid characterization of in flight satellite radiometer.
• Provide radiometric measurements across a broader range of solar angles and geographic regions, to assess the satellite dependencies on out-of-band response, BDRF, etc.
• Augments other moored cal/val sites throughout satellite lifetimes, enables rapid collection of vicarious calibration data.
HARPOONS Hybridspectral (SiP, InGaAs, and CCD) Above- and In-Water Autonomous Data Collection

Sun Photometer with Reference
Autonomous Shore Measurements
Improve Atmospheric Correction

Sea cable with high visibility floats, isolation segment to reduce towing effects, plus primary and secondary strength members.

SV3 Wave Glider
Autonomous At-Sea Measurements from SV3 with Reference Towing an Optical Profiler (TOW-FISH)

Digital thrusters allow stable up and down $E_d(\lambda)$ and $L_u(\lambda)$ profiles with all data products derived in upper 2 m.

7 – 9 February 2017
Hooker/Lind/Brown/Morrow/Kudela/del Castillo/Armstrong/Moisan

PROPRIETARY 1
HARPOONS is Ready to Support a Future Mission with Verified Data Quality and Uncertainties

A.3 requirements have been, or will soon be, met or exceeded for PACE or SGLI:

1. The above- and in-water combined spectral range is 320 – 1,640 nm (10 nm SiP and 15 – 30 nm InGaAs bands), plus 190 – 1,000 nm CCDs (≤ 2.2 nm resolution);

2. Radiometric uncertainty below 4% in blue-green and approximately 5% in red;

3. Stability of 1% per deployment with NIST traceability;

4. Autonomous in-water field operation demonstrated 4 times (Kawaihæ, Lana’i, Monterey Bay, and Puerto Rico) with the longest lasting more than 7 days;

5. Internal calibration for 5 years with 4 transfer radiometers, NIST-traceable lamp library (19 FELs), plus LED portable source;

6. Deployed systems are commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) sustainable, and field maintenance demonstrated;

7. Complementary field work to verify data quality and uncertainties demonstrated;

8. Instruments are field and laboratory characterized;

9. Fully autonomous data delivery, in the proper format, fidelity, and latency, to enable NASA mission science;

10. Calibration (oligotrophic), validation (mesotrophic), and research (eutrophic red tide) SV3 data collection demonstrated; and

11. TRL advanced to a fully tested, field deployable instrument beyond required TRL 6.
Developing a MOBY-NET instrument, suitable for a federation network for Vicarious Calibration of Ocean Color Satellites (Ken Voss – UMiami, Carol Johnson-NIST, Mark Yarbrough-MLML, Art Gleason-UMiami)

The Specific goals are

A) A MOBY structure that can fit in a 40’ container and be able to accept a modular optical system.

B) A modular, stable, optical system allowing installation and removal from buoy hull as one intact piece.

C) A separate stable source and radiometer, with sufficient stability to verify system performance pre/post deployment at the chosen remote site.

Meets IOCCCG White paper OC-VCAL requirements!
The idea of MOBY-Net is to develop the techniques and equipment to be able to support an additional remote field site with instrumentation consistent with the Hawaiian location, and common calibration.

Requires: Structure that allows optics to be installed and removed intact...new carbon fiber structure, with arms designed for installation and removal of collectors.

Source and monitor to verify performance before and after deployment.
Satellite Data from Calibrated Sensors (2010)

Mission Feedback
- Science community input
- Comparison with other appropriate products
- New Mission
- Protocol development

Calibration Strategy
- Prelaunch
  - Lab. characterization & calibration (NIST traceable)
  - Solar calibration (transfer-to-orbit)
- Postlaunch (operational adjustments)
  - Solar calibration (daily)
  - Lunar calibration (monthly)
  - Multiple sites $L_{\text{wn}}$ time series for vicarious calibration – ISRO, MOBY C

Improved Products & Algorithms
- Reprocessing due to improvements in calibration, masks, binning schemes, product compatibilities, etc.
- New products from bio-geochemical fields, atmospheric fields, etc.
- Data distribution interface

Product & Algorithm Validation
- Atmospheric & bio-optical algorithm validation and development (SIMBIOS PIs and project staff)
- Match-up analysis via Aeronet OC sites, satellite QC, time series evaluation, Bio-Argo etc.
- Earth System/Climate Model data assimilation

SeaDAS
- Satellite data processing software (ACE, OCM-2, MERIS, OLCI, SGLI, GOCI, GEO-CAPE, etc.)

In Situ Data
- Collection of required bio-optical and atmospheric measurements (SIMB II PIs)
- *in situ* instrument calibration (Project round robin NIST-traceable, IOPs, AOPs)
- Data collection following NASA Ocean Optics protocols
- Archive of calibrated QC *in situ* data (SeaBASS)
- Calibrated instrument pool
- Development of new instrumentation
Backup Slides
**SIMBIOS Science Team:** 1997 - Nov. 2003
- MODIS Oceans Team ~$3.2 M/year

**SIMBIOS Project Office:** 1996 - Nov. 2003
- Co-located with SeaWiFS, technical & program management (budgets, staff, tasks, etc.), science team coordination, contract negotiations and revisions, and NRA coordination with HQ
- Technical interface and MOUs with international space agencies (e.g., NASDA, CNES, ESA, KARI), other organizations (e.g., NIST, IOCCG, JRC, DLR), and programs (e.g., EOS, AERONET)
- Specific research activities ~$1.3 M/year

“We worked in the meso to large scale and tried to develop long-term, high quality data for climate research”
1) SIMBIOS funded through contracts the collection of **global in situ** bio-optical and atmospheric data (and related oceanographic measurements). Augmented data with CIMEL stations and instrument pool;

2) **Research areas** were targeted by Project. Had a coordinated data collection;

3) All investigators followed NASA standardized protocols and participated in RRs (radiometric & measurements);

4) Bio-optical & atmospheric database development (SeaBASS);

5) **R&D** technology evaluation (Simbad/Simbada, SQM, PREDE, Lidar).

6) Worked with community to have up-to-date protocols

---

**Lessons learned:** High quality data are needed for **both vicarious calibration and product validation.** These data must follow sampling, analysis, QC and protocol methods approved by the community.

**We worked in the meso-large scale**
SIMBIOS deployed multiple instruments and collected continuous data over the oceans

- SIMBIOS Project deployed an interdisciplinary team
- ACE-Asia was ideal for sun photometer validation
  - Encountered a variety of aerosol conditions
  - FRSR, SIMBAD, SIMBADA and 2 Microtops II’s
  - Calibration was performed by a variety of means
- Hand held sun photometer AOT and Angstrom Exponent values agree within uncertainties in all situations.
Sensor Cross-Comparisons Lessons Learned

- Important to identify user applications on how the merged data can meet their requirements better than any-single sensor datasets (interest in “coastal” zones)

- SIMBIOS goal was to create high quality, long-term, multi-sensor ocean color data sets (mesoscale to large scale):
  - Bring all multi-sensor data to a common, consistently calibrated and jointly validated ocean color baseline.
  - Accomplish it through sensor intercomparisons, validations, cross-calibrations, and “intelligent” data merger.
  - Integrate data on the level of chlorophyll, nLw, and radiances at the top-of-the-atmosphere.

- Small erroneous trends in sensor calibration can be misinterpreted as real signal from interannual oscillation.
Sensor Intercomparison & Merger for Biological & Interdisciplinary Ocean Studies

• Ensure development of internally consistent research products and time series from multiple satellite ocean color data sources
• Develop methodologies for cross-calibration of satellite ocean color sensors
• Develop methodologies for merging data from multiple ocean color missions
• Promote cooperation between ocean color projects

• Research Announcements could be drafted and released together
• Agencies could target specific scientific problems of interest, supporting what is most relevant
• Centralized database like SeaBASS, QA/QC and data submission requirements (e.g., 3 months for data submission), protocol development, exchange of personnel possible, connectivity to Round Robins
Field Summary

Field support
- Satellite overflight & coverage info, real-time data
- **Over 390 field** experiments supported

International field experiments
- INDOEX (1999)
- ACE-Asia (2001)
- R/V Akademik Ioffe (Atlantic Ocean and Antarctica, 2001-2002)
- R/V Urania (Mediterranean Sea, 2002)
- R/V Revelle (California Current, March 2003) - GLI calibration cruise

Bio-optical data archival & distribution
- SeaBASS (reconfiguration of SeaBASS database system 1999-2000)
- NODC (data at the end of each SIMBIOS Team contract)

Sunphotometer deployment, calibration, maintenance, data processing
- 14 Coastal Cimel stations (stations given to AERONET in 2003)
- Instrument pool: PREDE MKII, SIMBAD, SIMBADAD, MicroTops and MPL
**Radiometric round-robin**
- 1997: NASA/GSFC, PML (UK), JRC (Italy), SDSU, Biospherical Instruments Inc., UCSB, NRL, DLR (Germany), NASA/WFF, Satlantic Inc. (Canada)
- 2001: GSFC, Satlantic Inc., Biospherical Instruments Inc., HOBI Labs, UCSB, NRL, SIO

**Chlorophyll round-robin**
- 2000: ONR, UMD, SIO, SDSU, Bigelow, USF, NOAA & NASA/SSC
- 2001: SDSU, UMD, CNR (Italy), LODYC (France) and BBRS

**Technology development**
- The SeaWiFS Transfer Radiometer (SXR-II) with NIST
- SQM: Satlantic & Yankee Environmental Instruments; SIMBAD/SIMBADA (UCSD)

**Protocol development & updates with science community (REVISIT)**
- Fargion & Mueller 2000, Revision 2, NASA TM 2000-209966
- Mueller et al. 2003, Revision 4, NASA TM 2003-211621 (Vols 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5)
SeaBASS validation support

In addition to providing public distribution of datasets, SeaBASS supports:

- satellite data product validation
- satellite algorithm development & the NOMAD data set
- satellite instrument calibration
- time series analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Reprocessing Version</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VIIRS-SNPP</td>
<td>R2018.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS-Aqua</td>
<td>R2018.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODIS-Terra</td>
<td>R2018.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeaWiFS</td>
<td>R2018.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERIS</td>
<td>R2012.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTS</td>
<td>R2014.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZCS</td>
<td>R2014.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NASA provided images
A guiding philosophy for the validation system is to select the best available comparison between each satellite observation and a corresponding ground truth measurement per time and location.

To accomplish this principle, the validation dataset is built by reducing measurements made at a given station to one representative sample (Werdell & Bailey, 2005).

This reduction follows the calculation of water leaving values (e.g., from profiles of radiometric measurements). A single spectrum is selected (if there were repeated measurements).

To simplify the use of wavelength-specific in situ measurements:
- **Multispectral measurements**: within ±3 nm of a nearby satellite band center are generalized and reassigned to the satellite wavelength (i.e., without transforming the data).
- **Hyperspectral measurements**: 11 nm bandpass imposed, based on satellite bandcenters.

BRDF: The bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) is calculated for in situ Rrs validation measurements to obtain the "exact" normalization as described by Morel and Gentili (1991, 1993, 1996) with alterations described by Gordon (2005). This BRDF correction is applied for consistency with the satellite product, using the same look-up tables and approach as the satellite processing code (Bailey and Werdell, 2006).
Level-2 match-ups

General processing / exclusion criteria

In situ data

Select L1A files to be processed to L2 (Extract 101x101 pixels)

Process to L2 using MSI12 (L2gen)

Find closest pixel to station and extract 5x5 pixel box centered on it

Exclude pixels flagged by any of the following l2_flags: LAND, HIGLINT, HILT, STRAYLIGHT, CLDICE, ATMFAL, LOWWL, FILTER, NAVFAIL, or NAVWARN; Count Number Good Pixels (NGP)

Is time difference < 180 minutes?

YES

Is NGP > NTP/2 + 1? NTP = Number Total Pixels, excluding land pixels

YES

Select filtered mean per product (unflagged pixels within +/- 1.5*σ of mean)

NO

NO

Fails validation criteria

NO

Sensor Zenith < 60° and Solar Zenith < 75°?

YES

Eliminate stations from same L2 file that are too close together (overlapping of 5x5 box)

NO

Evaluate Sensor Zenith range. If < 10°, pick smallest time difference. Else, pick smallest Sensor Zenith.

If multiple L2 files for the same in situ data:

A new Level-2 regional time-series validation tool

time series for multi-sensor & in situ measurements at over 27 sites
AOP / Radiometry Data Analysis & Processing

$Lu(z, \lambda), Ed(z, \lambda) \rightarrow Lw(\lambda), Ed(0+,,\lambda)$

In house SeaBASS “Visual SeaBASS” software
HyperNav: Autonomous hyperspectral radiometer for satellite vicarious calibration

PI: Andrew Barnard

**Capability Highlights**

- Dual Lu heads, extended arms, <2.5 nm resolution, 350-900 nm.
- Characterized for polarization, thermal, linearity, stray light, self shading (NIST characterizations of linearity & stray light).
- Overall uncertainty < 4% in blue-green, < 6% in red regions
- Radiometer can operate in cabled freefall mode with fins.
- Autonomous operation demonstrated in Hawaii fall 2017.
- Leverages our participation in FRM4SOC efforts (LCE-1 and LCE-2)

**SURFACE:** $L_w(\lambda)$ spectrum obtained from Hypernav+ Navis system. Comparison with $L_w(\lambda)$ spectrum obtained from MOBY mooring (located ~ 81 nautical miles from deployment site). Hypernav data are uncorrected for stray light.
**PROFILE**: $L_u(\lambda)$ spectrum as function of depth. Deep cast from sensor 2 of HyperNav 1 on August 8, 2017 at 14:06 local. Data with a tilt $> 3^\circ$ is ignored.

**SURFACE**: $L_u(\lambda)$ spectrum. Median, 5 and 95 percentiles of $L_u$ tilt $> 3^\circ$ is excluded.
# Hypernav Uncertainties Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>380nm</th>
<th>412nm</th>
<th>443nm</th>
<th>490nm</th>
<th>510nm</th>
<th>550nm</th>
<th>665nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calibration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irradiance Standard</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflectance Target</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric Effects</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instrument</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immersion</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration Time Linearity</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counts Linearity</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stray Light</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength @ Cal</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength @ Field</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-shading (corrected)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilt Effects</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofouling</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wave Focusing</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth Uncertainty</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transmittance</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The new blue spectrometers have been installed for testing on each deployment of the operation MOBY since August 2016.

This instrument was deployed in August 2016.

Photo shows new blue spectrometer in place on MOBY.
MOBY spars/hull parts fit in container with room to spare

Inside of 40’ container with two MOBY hulls inside. Main spars are hanging on the side, the arms are on the floor (out of site), towards the back are the two floats, while the instrument cradles have not been assembled, but are towards the back of the container.

Plenty of room for a mooring buoy and the optical systems.
New optical system consists of dual in-line volume phase holographic grating systems. Allows simultaneous spectra to be acquired.

Example spectra from field measurements with blue spectrometer

From http://www.bayspec.com/technical-support/definitions/vpg/
The new blue spectrometers have been installed for testing on each deployment of the operation MOBY since August 2016. The dual spectrometer was installed for the deployment on May 2018.
Comparison with MOBY-Heritage

Very good agreement, at least early in the deployment. Divergences at high and low wavelengths driven by lack of stray light correction and uncorrected (at this point) second order light.
We monitor the output of the Satellite Quality Monitor (SQM, Yankee Engineering) stability source with a CAS140 fiber coupled spectroradiometer (Instrument Systems) using a custom radiance head. The head is mounted kinematically to the SQM, similar to the SeaWiFS Quality Monitor protocol.

The plot shows the CAS output normalized to the initial data for 08-May-2017 and run through 02-Aug-2018. The time series includes two shipping events (Honolulu and Miami from Gaithersburg) and swapping of the radiance head and fiber optic cable with the complementary irradiance hardware.

Ancillary data establish that the variability is from the CAS, not the SQM.
Results from tests to date

Spectral stability has been very good, latest deployment within 0.1 nm over 5 months (verified with Fraunhofer lines).

Simultaneous acquisition of all 3 Lu measurements and Es allows much better determination of KL for propagation of Lu to surface even in unstable conditions.

Our stability source and monitor system are working at the 2% level, we are trying to improve beyond this.
Results from tests to date

Spectral stability has been very good, latest deployment within 0.03 nm over 2 months (verified with Fraunhofer lines).

Simultaneous acquisition of all 3 Lu measurements and Es allows much better determination of KL for propagation of Lu to surface even in unstable conditions.

Our stability source and monitor system are working at the 2% level, we are trying to improve beyond this.

The first dual (red/blue spectrometer system) will be deployed along with the operational system in April.